

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,569	01/22/2002	Haruji Sawada	217865USOJPCT	6387
22850	7590 11/12/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LILLING, HERBERT J	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A. P. d. M.	1 - 1 1/2\			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/031,569	SAWADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HERBERT J LILLING	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 S	September 2003 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 2-19 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	- ,				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)		,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.29~2003 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed September 08, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-19 remain pending in this application.
- 3. Applicant has elected the fourth product which is a yeast belonging to Kluyveromyces.

The restriction requirement is in accordance with PCT rules.

The arguments have been deemed not to be persuasive and the statement pertaining to the a single general inventive concept and examined the full application as recited:

The Examiner, citing PCT Rule 13.1, contends that Groups I-XXXVIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. However, Applicants traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that the Office has not applied the same standard of unity of invention as the International Preliminary Examination Authority. The Authority did not take the position that unity of invention was lacking in the unemotional application and examined all claims together (see the international Preliminary Examination Report appended herewith).

Art Unit: 1651

Attached is the statement of "LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION" SHEET B, which states the following:

"Therefore the application lacks unity a posteriori."

As searching the other invention(s) would have caused a major additional searching effort, only the first invention was search."

Thus, the restriction as stated is proper and is in accordance with PCT rules.

Claims 2-19 as well as the other species in Claim 1 have been withdrawn from consideration.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by US 4,251,519 which teaches a Kluyveromyces within the broad claimed language.

5. The references cited on the two 1449 sheets, dated April 12, 2002 and March 29, 2003, have been initialed.

6. Claim 1 is not allowed drawn to the elected invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is** (703) 308-2034 and **Fax Number** is for applications **Before Final** (703) 872-9306 and **After Final** for applications is 703-872-9307 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>1651</u> November 05, 2003

> Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner Group 1600 Art Unit 16

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651